## UNITED STATE'S DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DCG SYSTE	MS, INC.,			
	•	CASE NO. CV 11 3792 PSG		
	Plaintiff(s),			
CHECKPOIN	v. IT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED X ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
<u> شيند د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د </u>	Defendant(s).			
	unsel report that they have me stipulation pursuant to Civil I	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties	agree to participate in the fo	llowing ADR process:		
Cor	art Processes:			
	Non-binding Arbitration	(ADR L.R. 4)		
_				
_	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)			
ADR Phone Priv	e Conference. See Civil Local vate Process: Private ADR (please iden	e this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for I Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)  ntify process and provider)		
	San Francisco, or similar neutral	ADA provider		
The parties □		on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order IDR process unless otherwise ordered.)		
A	other requested deadline	45 days after the Court's claim construction order, with the report due 15 days after the session.		
Dated: 10/7/	2011	Attorney for Plaintiff		
Dated: 10/7/	2011	10.		
		Attorney for Defendant W		

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursi	uant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby refer	ed to:		
□ .	Non-binding Arbitration			
<u> </u>	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)			
	Mediation			
Ø	Private ADR			
Deadline for ADR session				
	90 days from the date of this order.			
<b>₽</b>	other 45 days after the Court's claim construction order,	7		

with the report due 15 days after the session.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated October 14, 2011

The Honorable Paul S. Grewal
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE